United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,501	10/24/2003	Michael Shappell	224558	9299
45373 MADSHALI	7590 03/06/2007 GERSTEIN & RORIN	EXAMINER		
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP (MICROSOFT) 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			LIN, SHEW FEN	
6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0000,12			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/692,501	SHAPPELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shew-Fen Lin	2166	

	Shew-Fen Lin	2166	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a) 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mut of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forthater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following lichever is later. In on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		- TINOT NET ET TWNOT	ices willing
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants amended claims 1, 5, and 9 with the additional new limitations: "determining if rules permit the first user to execute the file system operation with respect to the file object; if the rule permit, ". The scope of the invention has been changed.

Since the persecution of this Application is currently at post-final-action stage, the amendment will not be entered as it necessitates a new search and claim analysis to determined the patentability of the amended claims.

HOSAIN ALAM
OURERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER